

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/092,148	MUDGE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin L McHenry	1725	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin L McHenry, examiner.

(2) Gary Bush, applicant's representative.

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
none

Claims discussed:  
14

Prior art documents discussed:  
none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:15 pm

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the application was allowable except that language in claim 14 was confusing since claim 14 cited that the liner engages ends of the liner. Agreement was reached to amend claim 14 so that the language read that the body of the sleeve engages liner ends..